

## Part 3: k-nearest neighbours

Robert Kwieciński

OLX Group and Adam Mickiewicz University

May 22, 2020

# k-nearest neighbours algorithm

## k-nearest neighbours algorithm

In a basic version:

- represent all your training samples in  $n$ -dimensional space,
- define a distance function between points (for example Euclidean distance),
- choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- for a given observation in the test set find  $k$  observations (neighbours) from the train set which distance from your observation is the smallest,
- predict value/class of your observation based on values/classes of your neighbours.

The algorithm is widely used for classification and regression purposes. In the simplest form there is no parameters and only one hiperparameter - number of neighbours.

## User-based KNN in recommender systems

Suppose we have  $m$  users and  $n$  items and we want to predict a rating  $r_{ui}$ .

- each **user** is represented by his ratings,
- we define a **similarity measure**  $\text{sim}(u, v)$  **between users** - it is common to take cosine similarity or Pearson coefficient of the vectors ratings of items rated by both users,
- we choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- we are looking for a set  $N_i^k(u)$  of  $k$  **the most similar users** to the user  $u$  who rated movie  $i$
- the final prediction is:

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_{v \in N_i^k(u)} \text{sim}(u, v) r_{vi}}{\sum_{v \in N_i^k(u)} \text{sim}(u, v)}.$$

## Item-based KNN in recommender systems

Suppose we have  $m$  users and  $n$  items and we want to predict a rating  $r_{ui}$ .

- each **item** is represented by received ratings,
- we define a **similarity measure**  $\text{sim}(i, j)$  **between items** - it is common to take cosine similarity or Pearson coefficient of the vectors ratings of items restricted to users who rated both items,
- we choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- we are looking for a set  $N_u^k(i)$  of  $k$  **the most similar items** to the item  $i$  which were rated by user  $u$ ,
- the final prediction is:

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_{j \in N_u^k(i)} \text{sim}(i, j) r_{uj}}{\sum_{j \in N_u^k(i)} \text{sim}(i, j)}.$$

# Difference between user-based KNN and item-based KNN

User/Item	$i_1$	$i_2$	$i_3$	$i_4$	$i_5$
$u_1$	1	0	1	1	0
$u_2$	0	1		1	0
$u_3$		1	0	1	0
$u_4$		1	1	1	1
$u_5$	1	0	1	?	?

Figure: User-item rating matrix

User-based approach:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(u_5, u_1) &= \cos([1, 0, 1], [1, 0, 1]) = 1 \\
 s(u_5, u_2) &= \cos([0, 1], [1, 0]) = 0 \\
 s(u_5, u_3) &= 0 \\
 s(u_5, u_4) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
 \hat{r}_{u_5, i_4} &= r_{u_1, i_4} = 1 \\
 \hat{r}_{u_5, i_5} &= r_{u_1, i_5} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Item-based approach:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(i_4, i_1) &= \cos([1, 1], [0, 1]) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.71 \\
 s(i_4, i_2) &= \frac{3}{2\sqrt{3}} \approx 0.87 \\
 s(i_4, i_3) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \approx 0.82 \\
 \dots \\
 \hat{r}_{u_5, i_4} &= r_{u_5, i_2} = 0 \\
 \hat{r}_{u_5, i_5} &= r_{u_5, i_3} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

# Some KNN problems

KNN problem - lack of similar users/items

In KNN models it is possible that none of similar users has rated target film and predicted rating is computed based on not very similar users.

# Some KNN problems

## KNN problem - lack of similar users/items

In KNN models it is possible that none of similar users has rated target film and predicted rating is computed based on not very similar users.

## KNN problem - memory demanding

In practice number of users and items might be huge (several millions) and preserving similarity matrix in memory is expensive. Unfortunately preserving only top  $k$  similar users/items for each item is not enough (check carefully formulas to answer why).

# Some KNN problems

## KNN problem - lack of similar users/items

In KNN models it is possible that none of similar users has rated target film and predicted rating is computed based on not very similar users.

## KNN problem - memory demanding

In practice number of users and items might be huge (several millions) and preserving similarity matrix in memory is expensive. Unfortunately preserving only top  $k$  similar users/items for each item is not enough (check carefully formulas to answer why).

## Solution

Preserve only the most similar users. If they have not rated target movie, use the prediction of their ratings instead. In [1] MF model was used for predictions.



# Similarity measures

- Pearson correlation:  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{l=1}^L (x_i[l] - \bar{x}_i)(x_j[l] - \bar{x}_j)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{l=1}^L (x_i[l] - \bar{x}_i)^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{l=1}^L (x_j[l] - \bar{x}_j)^2}}$  with  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L x[l]$
- Spearman's rank correlation:  $\rho_{ij} = 1 - \frac{6}{L(L^2-1)} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L d_{ij}[l]^2$  with  $d_{ij}[l]$  being the ranking difference
- Set correlation:  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{|N(i) \cap N(j)|}{\min(|N(i)|, |N(j)|)}$
- MSE correlation:  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L (x_i[l] - x_j[l])^2}$
- Ratio correlation:  $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \omega(x_i[l] - x_j[l])}{L}$  with  $\omega(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

We shrink the correlation  $\rho_{ij}$  to zero, based on support  $n_{ij} = |N(i) \cap N(j)|$ :

$$c_{ij} = \frac{\rho_{ij} \cdot n_{ij}}{n_{ij} + \alpha}$$

Similarity measures used by the winners of Netflix Prize [2]

## Models used by the winners of the Netflix Prize competition

Here is an example of k-NN model used in 33 predictors (25 predictors with simpler approach ( $\zeta = \kappa = \psi = 1, \nu = 0, \vartheta = \infty$ )).

$$c_{ij}^{\text{new}} = \hat{\sigma} \left( \delta \cdot \text{sign}(c_{ij}) |c_{ij}|^{\zeta} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{-|\Delta t|}{\beta} \right) + \gamma \right)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) = \kappa \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} + \nu$$

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} x & -\vartheta \leq x \leq \vartheta \\ \vartheta & x > \vartheta \\ -\vartheta & x < -\vartheta \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = L \left( \psi \frac{\sum_{j \in R(u,i)} c_{ij}^{\text{new}} r_{uj}}{\sum_{j \in R(u,i)} c_{ij}^{\text{new}}} \right)$$

KNN models used by the winners of Netflix Prize [2]

To do (especially for absent students):

- Go through - *P3. k-nearest neighbours* notebook to:
  - check simplified version of I-KNN (where we sum over all neighbours instead of top  $k$ )
  - observe evaluation measures
  - run ready-made KNN algorithm implemented in Surprise
  - read Surprise docs about KNN algorithms [here](#), it is described really clear
  - **project task 4: use a version of your choice of Surprise KNN algorithm**

## References I

- [1] A. Töscher, M. Jahrer, and R. Legenstein, “Improved neighborhood-based algorithms for large-scale recommender systems,” , Jan. 2008. DOI: 10.1145/1722149.1722153.
- [2] A. Töscher and M. Jahrer, “The bigchaos solution to the netflix grand prize,” , Sep. 2009, [http://https://www.netflixprize.com/assets/GrandPrize2009\\_BPC\\_BigChaos.pdf/](http://https://www.netflixprize.com/assets/GrandPrize2009_BPC_BigChaos.pdf/).