

Team Name at CAICCAIC: Report Template

First Author
First Address
Email: first@first.example.com

Second Author, Third Author
Second Address
Email: {second, third}@second.example.com

Abstract—This is a template for the report from a submission to the CAICCAIC challenge. The abstract should contain a few sentences summarizing the submission.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE REPORTS should follow the instructions provided by FedCSIS organizers at https://fedcsis.org/for_authors/instructions. The submitted documents should not exceed 4 pages. Acknowledgments and references do **not** count toward the page limit.

Reports should be titled “Team Name at CAICCAIC: Descriptive Title”, where *Team Name* and *Descriptive Title* should be replaced by the name of the team and a descriptive title of the submission, respectively. The descriptive title may be anything that the authors of the solution consider to be appropriate, e.g. a method used, a question addressed, or a finding discussed in the paper.

The introduction section should contain the following information:

- the main strategy used in the submitted solution,
- an overview of related work so that the reader can understand the author’s contribution and what its aspects are novel.

II. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The description of the system:

- key algorithms of the system,
- modeling decisions,
- resources used beyond the provided training data,
- how the submitted solution addresses the challenges of the task.

Some examples can help the reader understand the stages of your algorithm.

III. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

You should describe here the experimental setup with all the details that are necessary to replicate the experiments, including:

- data splits (train/dev/test) and any additional data used for training,
- details about preprocessing, hyperparameter tuning, etc.
- key external tools/libraries used,
- computing resources (training time, number of GPUs).

This work was not supported by any organization

In addition to the raw results of the experiments, you should also include the analysis of the results:

- How did your system perform in comparison to the baselines?
- Quantitative analysis: How different design decisions influenced the results? Did you perform any ablation experiments or other comparisons? Discuss the usefulness of different features and techniques.
- Error analysis: What kinds of mistakes your system makes (and why)?

IV. CONCLUSION

A conclusion section is not required, but if you decide to include it, it should contain a few summary sentences about the importance of the work or ideas for its future extensions, rather than replicating the abstract.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Doe, “Title,” *Journal*, vol. 1, 2023, pp. 117–121.